Under the Pa

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE ct of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

#### **Application Number** 09/883.376 **TRANSMITTAL** Filing Date Jun 19, 2001 **FORM** First Named Inventor Zimmerman, Thomas Guthrie Art Unit 2123 (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) **Examiner Name Thomas Stevens** Total Number of Pages in This Submission Attorney Docket Number ARC920000049LIS1

	ages in This Castinicalen	ANCESCOULDED ANCESCOULDED										
ENOUGOUPEO (c) 1 mg / 1												
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)												
X Fee Trans	smittal Form	Drawing(s)  After Allowance communication to (TC)										
Fee	e Attached	Licensing-related Papers  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences										
Amendme	ent / Reply	Petition  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)										
Afte	er Final	Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information										
Affi	davits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address  Status Letter										
Extension	of Time Request	Terminal Disclaimer  Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):										
Express A	bandonment Request	Request for Refund										
Information	n Disclosure Statement	CD, Number of CD(s)										
Certified C	Copy of Priority	Landscape Table on CD										
Document Document	(s)	Remarks										
	fissing Parts/	, tomano										
	e Application	·										
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53												
	SIGN	ATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT										
Firm Name	Lacasse & Associates,	LLC										
Signature	fantaj Sour	ndarara p										
Printed name	Ramraj Soundararajan	J										
Date	August 23, 2005	Reg. No. 53832										
	(	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING										
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.												
Signature												
Typed or printed name		Date										

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



# FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2005

Effective 10/01/2004. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

500.00

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

Name (Print/Type)

Signature

Ramraj Soundararajan

Soundaraisi a

Rama

 Examiner Name
 Thomas Stevens

 Art Unit
 2123

 Attorney Docket No.
 ARC920000049US1

09/883,376

6/19/2001

**Application Number** 

First Named Inventor

Filing Date

Complete if Known

Thomas Guthrie Zimmerman

METHOD OF PAYMENT							FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
Check Credit Card Money Order Other							3. ADDITIONAL FEES					
X Deposit Account:							Large Fee	Entity		Entity	_	
Deposit Account 09-0441								Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Number								130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
Deposit Account IBM CORPORATION								50	2052	25	Surcharge – late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
Name The Director is authorized to: (check all that apply)							1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification	
X Charge fee(s) indicated below X Credit any overpayments							1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
X Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpayment of fee(s)								920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee to the above- identified deposit account.							1805	1,840*	1805	1,8401	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
FEE CALCULATION							1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	
1. BASIC FILING	FEE						1252	430	2252	215	Extension for reply within second month	
Large Entity Fee Fee	Sma Fee	II Entity Fee	-				1253	980	2253	490	Extension for reply within third month	
Code (\$)	Code	(\$)		Description	· _	Fee Paid	1254	1,530	2254	765	Extension for reply within fourth month	
1001 790 1002 350	2001	395 175	Utility filing fee				1255	2,080	2255	1,040	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1002 550	2002	275	Design filing fee Plant filing fee				1401	340	1401	170	Notice of Appeal	
1004 790	2004	395	Reissue filing fee				1402	500	2402	250	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	500
1005 160	2005	80	Provisional filing fee				1403	300	2403	150	Request for oral hearing	
SUBTOTAL (1) \$ 0							1451	1.510	1452	1.510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY AND REISSUE Fee from							1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive – unavoidable	
	_	Extra Clair	ns	below		Fee Paid	1453	1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive – unintentional	
Total Claims	-20** =		×		=		1501	1,370	2501	685	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
Independent	-3** =		<b>1</b> <sub>x</sub>	$\vdash \vdash \vdash$	=		1502	490	2502	245	Design issue fee	
Claims Multiple	ا ت آ		<b>」</b> ^				1503	660	2503	330	Plant issue fee	
Dependent					=		1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
Large Entity Small Entity								50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Fee Fee	Fee	Fee	- Fee	Fee Description				180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Code (\$) 1202 18	Code 2202	( <b>\$</b> ) 9		s in excess o	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)			
1201 88	2201	44		endent claim	1809	790	2809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37			
1203 300	2203	150	Multip	le dependen	1810	790	2810	395	CFR 1.129(a)) For each additional invention to be			
1204 88	2204	44		ssue indeper al patent					examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))			
1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20				1801 1802	790	2801	395	Request for Continued Examination (RCE)				
and over original patent								900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
SUBTOTAL (2)							Othe	r fee (sp	ecify):			
**ac number proviously paid if greater; For Paissuas, see shows						*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) \$500						
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above							, 1001	acca by	_4010 7	g : C		

Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)

53832

Telephone

Date

703-838-7683

August 23, 2005



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPEAL BRIEF – 37 C.F.R. § 1.192

U.S. Patent Application 09/883,376 entitled, 
"Manipulation of Electronic Media Using Off-Line Media"

**REAL PARTY IN INTEREST:** International Business Machines Corporation

08/24/2005 JADD01 00000066 090441 09883376 01 FC:1402 500.00 DA

Serial No. 09/883,376 Group Art Unit 2123

Docket No: ARC920000049US1

ND INTERFERENCES:

None

STATUS OF CLAIMS:

Claims 1-31 are pending.

Claims 16-26 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Mault et al.

(USP 6790178).

Claims 1-15 and 27-31 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious by Mault et al. in

view of Boldt et al. (USP 6349304).

**STATUS OF AMENDMENTS:** 

No amendments were filed after the final rejection of 05/03/2005.

**SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER:** 

The presently claimed invention provides for the simulation of a real tool (see page 8,

lines 12-15) in which a computer displays data (Fig. 1, element 110) based upon a selected

portion of an image printed on an off-line medium (see page 8, lines 8-10). The system

comprises a probe device (Fig. 1, element 104) comprising at least a hand-held probe section,

which transmits information of the selected portion to the computer (see page 8, lines 8-10 and

page 13, lines 4-6) and a storage medium retaining multimedia data representative of an output

of a real tool examining a selected portion of an actual item corresponding to said selected

portion of said image, (see page 9, lines 14 and 15). Multimedia data is retrieved from the

storage medium, displayed on a computer, and is synchronized to a position of the portion of the

offline medium (Fig. 1, element 106) selected by the hand-held probe section (see page 10, lines

8-10). The computer then displays said multimedia data to the movement and function of a real

tool.

The disclosed computer simulates a real tool by displaying data based upon a selection of

a location of an image printed on an off-line medium by a hand-held probe or hand held image

(Fig. 4, element 104); the off-line medium includes a plurality of icons printed thereon, each of

which indicates a different real tool (see page 8, lines 8-15 and page 9, lines 12-16). Position

information representative of a location of an image printed on the off-line medium is obtained

by the hand-held probe part is pointing to it (see page 8, lines 10-17). Subsequently, multimedia data from the storage media is synchronized to the location pointed to by the hand-held probe part (see page 14, lines 5-6). After synchronization, multimedia data representing the output of a real tool examining a location of an actual item corresponding to said location of said image pointed to by said hand-held probe part is retrieved (see page 10, lines 8-10) and displayed (see page 14, lines 5-6).

### **GROUNDS OF REJECTIONS TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL:**

- 1. Was a proper rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) using existing USPTO guidelines?
- 2. Was a proper rejection made under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) using existing USPTO guidelines?

#### **ARGUMENT:**

#### REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

Claims 16-26 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Mault et al. (USP 6790178).

To be properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), each and every claim element must be shown in a single reference. Mault fails to provide at least the following elements: a hand-held imager detecting a region of off-line media; transmitting the electronic representation of said region to said computer; and a presentation device presenting electronic media synchronized to said region of off-line media. The Examiner appears to have included the Mault reference primarily for its teaching of a physiological monitor and storage of parameters collected from a human body, within a computing device. An exemplary embodiment of the Mault invention is disclosed in the background section; specifically, a diabetic patient monitoring and recording blood glucose levels several times a day. The Mault reference differs from the present invention in that the physiological monitor of the Mault reference is only able to obtain data from a physiological input, in other words, the finger of a diabetic patient. The Mault reference simply provides for obtaining parameters and storing them. Furthermore, the physiological monitor of the Mault reference is also unable to detect and present information about any offline media. As disclosed in the present invention, the probe not only detects the type of offline media over which it is placed, but also transmits this information back to a computer such that related multimedia data is displayed. For example, if a probe of the present invention is dragged over a

picture of a human hand, and the probe is selected as a microscope, an associated computer will retrieve information showing a cell structure of the animal (Fig. 2b). If the probe is selected as an x-ray, the associated computer would retrieve information showing the bone structure of the specific animal (Fig. 2b). Clearly, the present invention teaches above and beyond obtaining input, storing it, and displaying it.

With regard to claim 16, the Examiner has cited Mault as teaching various physiological monitor modules used with computing devices. Specifically, the Examiner cites column 1, lines 40-45 of the Mault reference as providing for a hand-held imager, however, a closer reading of the referenced sections provides for portable electronic device, specifically mobile telephones, electronic books, laptops, and other handheld computers. Clearly, this is different from the handheld imager of the present invention which a user manipulates probe over a medium, information detected by the probe is transmitted by probe to general-purpose computer. Specifically examples were given in the application as filed; specifically, such a probe could be embodied as a bar code reader, magnetic digitizer, active electrostatic probe, or ultrasonic device. The section referenced by the Examiner in the Mault reference does not provide for a probe that detects information, rather it provides examples of a computing device, for which a human user must provide input through a keyboard or keypad.

Also with regards to claim 16, the Examiner also cites column 5, lines 25-56 of the Mault reference as providing for said imager detecting a region of said off-line media and transmitting information. However, in actuality, the referenced sections provide for a physiological monitor that measures one or more physiological parameters and stores the resulting data to memory; and that the monitor may also be interconnected with, or otherwise placed in communication with a PDA. Such a physiological monitor requires both a human body from which input is received and some type of knowledge about the type of input that is to be received. However, the present invention does not require this, rather, the present inventions allows a probe to be simply guided over the chosen off-line media and information is automatically transmitted to a computer and presentation device; off-line media is any of: books, magazines, newspapers, posters, pictures, mosaics, tapestry, two and three dimensional objects, animals, people, furniture, toys, cups, plates, silverware, business cards, and clothing. By its very nature, a physiological monitor is only used to obtain data about the human body.

Also with regard to claim 16, the Examiner has cited column 1, lines 1 - 14 of the Mault

reference as providing for an electronic representation of a region of off-line media and a presentation device presenting electronic media synchronized to said region of off-line media. However, the referenced sections only disclose a reference to related applications and related

serial numbers.

With regard to claims 18, 19, 22, and 23 the Mault reference cannot possibly provide for the limitations of storing electronic media that is synchronized to the movement of a hand held imager over offline media or for simulating the function of real tools because *neither* makes any provision or even a suggestion for storing electronic media that is related or representative of the

offline media or for synchronizing such data to the movement of a probe.

With regard to claim 20 and 24 the Mault reference does not make reference, explicit or implicit to a probe as a telescope, spectrum analyzer, radio telescope, magnetometer, scale, seismometer, ground penetrating radar, x-ray, pH device, thermometer, stethoscope, electrophoretic device, Geiger counter, chemical assay device, book reader, word pronouncer, book translator or dictionary.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness under U.S.C. § 103, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second, there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. Additionally, the teaching or suggestion to make the claimed combination and the reasonable expectation of success must both be found in the prior art, and not based on applicant's disclosure (In re Vaeck, 947 F.2d 488, 20 USPQ2d 1438 (Fed. Cir. 1991)). Applicants contend, as seen in the arguments above, that the Examiner, based on the office action of 05/03/2004 has <u>failed</u> to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness under U.S.C. §103.

Claims 1-15 and 27-31 stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious by Mault et al. in view of Boldt et al. (USP 6349304).

With regard to claims 1 and 29, the Examiner has again cited the Mault reference as

providing for a computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based upon a selected portion of an image printed an off-line medium. Specifically, the Examiner cites column 1, lines 55-61; however, a closer reading of the referenced sections provide simply for a digital camera interconnected with a PDA. A digital camera interconnected with a PDA can hardly be considered an offline medium; by virtue of the fact that the digital camera is an electronic device and has a port with which to interconnect the PDA, there is no way that can possibly be offline. Similarly the Boldt reference makes no provision for displaying data based on a selected portion of an offline medium; the Examiner has failed to meet the requirement of particularly pointing out the portion of the reference he or she believes to read on the particular claim limitation. The Examiner has pointed to columns 61-67 of the Boldt reference; the last column in the Boldt reference is column 20.

It is unclear how the Boldt invention relates to the present invention; it is directed to configuring features of a source device and target device over a network. More specifically, the Boldt reference allows a user to copy values for selected features from one device or file to multiple devices, such as printers. However, the present invention has nothing to do with copying. There is no mention of any device such as a probe that obtains data from a region of offline media. There is no mention of an offline media. Furthermore, there is no mention of multimedia data and consequently, no mention of multimedia data synchronized with the region of offline media over which the probe is manipulated. The Boldt reference is primarily directed towards devices in the arena of networking, and in particular determining a set of features that a target device is capable of implementing. This is clearly very different from the present invention in using the probe with multimedia information synchronized with the offline region selected provided to a display. Clearly, if both the probe and the probed object were online, as in the Boldt invention, there would be no need to synchronize multimedia information to the probed object; the multimedia information would simply be provided, in real time, over the network.

Column 18, lines 4-5 of the Mault reference does not provide for a probe device comprising at least a hand-held probe section transmitting information to a computer; rather, the cited section provides for thermometer shaped probes and measurement devices. The difference between a thermometer and the present invention is that a thermometer will simply display the obtained measurement of body heat on an LCD display screen whereas the present invention will obtain new information about the probed offline media. The newly obtained information

provides more than simply displaying obtained measurements; rather, what is provided is a multimedia information that is synchronized with the offline media. In other words, the information displayed on the computer screen is not necessarily only measurement data from the offline media itself, but information about the media that is stored in a database or obtained from the Internet. One difference between the present invention and both the Boldt and Mault inventions is that the present invention retrieves and presents previously stored multimedia data whereas the Boldt and Mault references simply provide for displaying the measurements actually obtained by a probe.

Column 1, lines 40-45 of the Mault reference are cited by the Examiner as providing for real tool multimedia data representative of an output of a real tool and wherein a computer retrieves real tool data synchronized to a position of selected portions of offline media. The referenced sections merely provide for the prevalence of PDAs, and those particularly with a slot for accepting a memory card. Nowhere in either the Mault or Boldt reference is it provided to store data that is representative of the probed offline media portion; rather, measurement data that is actually obtained is displayed.

With regard to claims 2, 3, 7, 11, 28, and 30, the Mault and Boldt references cannot provide for a plurality of icons printed on the off-line media, each of the icons indicating a different real tool, and selection of an icon by said hand-held probe part changing said multimedia data synchronized with portion of offline media nor for a position determination means to determine relative position of a sampled image to a reference image because neither reference, either singly or in combination, provides for synchronized multimedia data representative of data the real tool would output.

With regard to claim 4, 27, and 31, neither the Mault nor Boldt references make reference, explicit or implicit to a probe as a telescope, spectrum analyzer, radio telescope, magnetometer, scale, seismometer, ground penetrating radar, x-ray, pH device, thermometer, stethoscope, electrophoretic device, Geiger counter, chemical assay device, book reader, word pronouncer, book translator or dictionary. The Examiner has again pointed to a non-existent set of columns in the Boldt references (i.e. columns 61-67) as providing for the above-mentioned probes.

With regard to the remaining dependant claims 5, 6, 8-10, 12-15, 17, 21, 25, and 26 the above mentioned arguments made for independent claims substantially apply in that the

dependant claims inherit the limitations of the claims upon which they depend. Specifically, neither the Mault nor the Boldt reference, either singly or in combination provide for at least the following elements of the claims:

- a hand-held probe including a camera to capture an image of said selected portion
   and outputting a sampled image;
- an image retrieval means to match a sampled image to stored reference images;
- outputting the position of sampled image in identified reference image wherein a
  presentation device presents electronic media based on position and identified
  reference image;
- a hand-held probe section and bar code wherein each bar code designates position
   data such that a computer retrieves and displays data synchronized to said bar
   code;
- bar codes printed on an overlay superimposed on said off-line media;
- a bar code reader recognizing said bar codes printed with infrared ink;
- a probe device used as a digitizer using any of: magnetic fields, electric fields, or
   ultrasonic sound to determine the hand-held probe position;
- a user using a part of his hand as a probe; and
- a presentation device providing a visual display.

As this Appeal Brief has been timely filed within the set period of response, no petition for extension of time or associated fee is required. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiencies in the fees provided, to include an extension of time, to Deposit Account No. 09-0441.

Respectfully submitted by Applicant's Representative,

Ramraj Soundararajan
Reg. No. 53,832

1725 Duke Street Suite 650 Alexandria, VA 22314 (703) 838-7683

August 23, 2005

#### **CLAIMS APPENDIX:**

1. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, said system comprising:

a probe device comprising at least a hand-held probe section, said probe device

transmitting information of said selected portion to said computer;

a computer storage medium, said storage medium retaining multimedia data, said

multimedia data representative of an output of a real tool examining a selected portion of an

actual item corresponding to said selected portion of said image, and

wherein said computer retrieves said multimedia data synchronized to a position

of said selected portion and displays said multimedia data to simulate a real tool.

2. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 1, wherein said

off-line medium includes a plurality of icons printed thereon, each of said icons indicating a

different real tool, selection of an icon by said hand-held probe part changing said multimedia

data synchronized with a portion of said image so as to be representative of an output of the real

tool indicated by said icon.

3. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 1, wherein a

computer display includes a plurality of icons printed thereon, each of said icons indicating a

different real tool.

4. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 1, wherein said

real tool is any one of a telescope, spectrum analyzer, radio telescope, magnetometer, scale,

seismometer, ground penetrating radar, x-ray, pH device, thermometer, stethoscope,

electrophoretic device, Geiger counter, chemical assay device, book reader, word pronouncer,

book translator, or dictionary.

Serial No. 09/883,376 Group Art Unit 2123

Docket No: ARC920000049US1

5. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 1, wherein said

hand-held probe includes a camera to capture an image of said selected portion and outputs a

sampled image.

6. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 5, further

including image retrieval means to match said sampled image to stored reference images.

7. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 5, further

including position determination means to determine relative position of said sampled image to

said reference image.

8. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 1, wherein said

hand-held probe section is a bar code reader and said off-line medium has a plurality of bar

codes printed thereon juxtaposed with said image, each of said bar codes designating position

data such that said bar code reader reads one of said bar codes, transmits data representative of

said bar code, and said computer retrieves and displays data synchronized to said bar code.

9. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 8, wherein said

bar codes are printed on an overlay superimposed on said off-line media.

10. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 8, wherein said

bar code reader recognizes said bar codes printed with infrared ink.

11. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 1, wherein said probe device transmits position information such that said displayed data continuously changes

synchronously to said hand-held probe parts movement across said image.

12. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 11, wherein said

probe device is a digitizer using magnetic fields to determine the hand-held probe position.

13. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 11, wherein said

probe device is a digitizer using electric fields to determine the hand-held probe position.

14. (A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data

based upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 11,

wherein a user uses a part of his hand as said probe.

15. A computer-based system for simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based

upon a selected portion of an image printed on an off-line medium, as per claim 11, wherein said

probe device is a digitizer using ultrasonic sound to determine the hand-held probe position.

16. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, said system comprising:

a hand-held imager, said imager detecting a region of said off-line media and

transmitting an electronic representation of said region to said computer; computer;

a presentation device, said presentation device presenting electronic media,

synchronized to said region of off-line media.

17. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, as per claim 16, wherein said

presentation device is a visual display.

18. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, as per claim 16, further including a

multimedia database storing said electronic media to be presented wherein said electronic

media is synchronized to movement of said hand-held imager over said off-line media.

19. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, as per claim 16, wherein said

synchronization simulates the functions of real tools.

20. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, as per claim 19, wherein said real tool is

any one of a telescope, spectrum analyzer, radio telescope, magnetometer, scale, seismometer,

ground penetrating radar, x-ray, pH device, thermometer, stethoscope, electrophoretic device,

Geiger counter, chemical assay device, book reader, word pronouncer, book translator, or

dictionary.

21. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, as per claim 16, further comprising:

a camera in said hand-held imager, said camera imaging said selected region of off-line

media and outputting a sampled image;

a database, containing digital representations of reference images, said reference images

including selected regions of off-line media;

an image retriever, receiving said sampled image and identifying said sampled image as a

selected region of a reference image in said database,

a position detector receiving said sampled images and outputting position of said sample

image in said identified reference image, and

wherein said presentation device presents said electronic media based on said position

and said identified reference image.

22. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, as per claim 21, wherein said electronic

media is an image.

23. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, as per claim 21, wherein said electronic

media is sound, including any of spoken work, music, or sound effects.

24. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be presented by a computing device

is synchronized to a selected region of off-line medium, as per claim 21, wherein said presented

electronic media simulates the function of a real tool selected from the list of a telescope,

spectrum analyzer, radio telescope, magnetometer, scale, seismometer, ground penetrating radar,

x-ray, pH device, thermometer, stethoscope, electrophoretic device, Geiger counter, chemical

assay device, book reader, word pronouncer, book translator, or dictionary.

25. A computer-based system in which electronic media to be displayed by a computer is

synchronized to a selected region of an image printed on a off-line medium, as per claim 16,

wherein said image is divided into a plurality of regions, each of said regions having a bar code

printed therein, and said electronic media is representative of an output of a real tool examining

a region of an actual item corresponding to said region of said image detected by a hand-held bar

code reader used as said hand-held imager.

26. A computer-based system in which data to be displayed by a computer is synchronized to a

selected region of an image printed on a off-line medium, as per claim 25, wherein said off-line

medium includes a plurality of icons printed thereon, each of said icons indicating a different real

tool, selection of an icon by said hand-held bar code reader changing said electronic media

synchronized with each bar code printed in a region of said image so as to be representative of an

output of the real tool indicated by said icon.

27. A computer-based system in which data to be displayed by a computer is synchronized to a selected region of an image printed on a off-line medium, said image divided into a plurality of regions, each of said regions having a bar code printed therein, as per claim 25, wherein said real tool is any one of a telescope, spectrum analyzer, radio telescope, magnetometer, scale, seismometer, ground penetrating radar, x-ray, pH device, thermometer, stethoscope, electrophoretic device, Geiger counter, chemical assay device, book reader, word pronouncer, book translator, or dictionary.

28. A computer-based system in which data to be displayed by a computer is synchronized to a selected region of an image printed on a off-line medium, said image divided into a plurality of regions, each of said regions having a bar code printed therein, as per claim 25, wherein said image is an image of a structure of a database and navigation of said database is synchronized to movements of said hand-held bar code reader over said image.

29. A method of simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based upon a selection of a location of an image printed on a off-line medium by a hand-held probe, said off-line medium including a plurality of icons printed thereon, each of said icons indicating a different real tool, said method comprising:

receiving position information representative of a location of said image printed on said off-line medium said hand-held probe part is pointing to;

determining data synchronized to said location;

retrieving said data, said data representative of an output of a real tool examining a location of an actual item corresponding to said location of said image pointed to by said handheld probe part, and

displaying said data.

30. A method of simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based upon a selection of a location of an image printed on a off-line medium by a hand-held probe, said off-line medium including a plurality of icons printed thereon, each of said icons indicating a different real tool, as per claim 29, said method further comprising:

receiving icon information representative of one of a plurality of icons pointed to by said hand-held probe, said icon representative of a real tool;

changing said data synchronized with said location of said image so as to be representative of an output of the real tool indicated by said icon.

31. A method of simulating a real tool in which a computer displays data based upon a selection of a location of an image printed on a off-line medium by a hand-held probe, said off-line medium including a plurality of icons printed thereon, each of said icons indicating a different real tool, as per claim 29, wherein said real tool is any one of a telescope, spectrum analyzer, radio telescope, magnetometer, scale, seismometer, ground penetrating radar, x-ray, pH device, thermometer, stethoscope, electrophoretic device, Geiger counter, chemical assay device, book reader, word pronouncer, book translator, or dictionary.